

REMARKS

This paper is being submitted in response to the Office Action mailed on March 7, 2011.. Claims 22-25, 31-33, and 45-51 are pending. Claim 51 is objected to. Claims 22, 33, 48, 49, and 51 are rejected. Claims 33 and 45 are allowed. Claims 22, 33, 45, 48, and 49 are amended herein. Claim 51 is cancelled herein without prejudice to the eventual filing of a continuation application. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of claims 22-25, 31-33 and 45-50 in view of the preceding amendment and following remarks.

I. DRAWINGS

The drawings are objected to for failing to show every feature specified in claim 46. However, in making this objection, the Action repeats a quote of an earlier version of claim 46. Applicant submits that the current version of claim 46 is supported by Figure 2 (which shows that said pocket may be opened from said first side by releasing only said first releasable fastening mechanism) and Figure 4 (which shows that said pocket may be opened from said second side by releasing only said second releasable fastening mechanism). Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the drawing objection related to claim 46.

The drawings are also objected to for failing to show the “first releasable fastening mechanism positioned on said first panel” as claimed in claim 48. Applicant submits that the amendment to claim 48 overcomes this objection.

The drawings are also objected to for failing to show the “second releasable fastening mechanism positioned on said second panel” as claimed in claim 49. Applicant submits that the amendment to claim 49 overcomes this objection.

II. CLAIM REJECTION UNDER USC 112

Claims 48 and 49 are rejected under USC 112 as not being supported by the written description. Claims 48 and 49 have been amended to overcome the rejection. Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the 112 rejection of claims 48 and 49.

Claim 51 is rejected for insufficient antecedent basis of “said first cover” and “said inner surface.” The rejection of claim 51 is moot in view of its cancellation.

Claims 22, 33, and 51 are rejected as indefinite with regard to the word “outwardly in the phrases “outwardly beyond said opening.” Claims 22 and 33 have been amended for clarity. Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the 112 rejection of claims 22 and 33. The rejection of claim 51 is moot in view of its cancellation.

Claim 51 is rejected as indefinite with regard to “said pocket is positioned in said opening.” The rejection of claim 51 is moot in view of its cancellation.

IV. CLAIM REJECTION UNDER USC 102

Claim 51 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Malooly (US 3,912,055). Claim 51 is cancelled herein without prejudice toward the eventual filing of a continuation application. The rejection of claim 51 is moot in view of its cancellation.

V. ALLOWED CLAIMS

Applicant thanks Examiner for indicating that claims 22-25, 31-33 and 45-50 are allowed, provided the 112 rejections are overcome.

CONCLUSION

Claims 22-25, 31-33, and 45-50 are believed to be in condition for allowance, and an early notice thereof is respectfully requested. Should the Examiner determine that additional issues exist which might be resolved by a telephone conference, they are respectfully invited to contact the Applicant's undersigned representative

The Director is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees or underpayments of fees under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 and 1.17, or to credit any overpayments, to Deposit Account Number 13-2500. Applicant is a large entity.

Respectfully Submitted,

/Donald G. Bauer/

Donald G. Bauer
Registration No. 58,740
Agent for Applicant
(301) 498-3269

MeadWestvaco Corporation
Intellectual Property Law Department
501 South 5th Street, 3rd Floor
Richmond, VA 23219-0501
E-mail: docketadministrator@mwv.com
Telephone: (301) 498-3269
Facsimile: (866) 763-7155
Customer No.: 66061